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Volumetric MRI in the Study of AD

- Declines in brain volume
  - entorhinal cortex & hippocampal atrophy
  - whole brain atrophy
- Progressive & correlated with dementia severity
- Predicts clinical decline & histopathological diagnosis of AD
- Parallels the onset of MCI, predicts rate of conversion to probable AD
- Promising roles in the evaluation of AD disease-slowing & prevention therapies
- Whole brain atrophy rates may be better than medial temporal lobe atrophy in clinical trials
MRI in the Assessment of Entorhinal and Hippocampal Atrophy

Entorhinal Cortex

Hippocampus

Courtesy of Mike Weiner
Serial Coronal MRI’s from a Patient with Initially Mild AD
(courtesy of Nick Fox)
Computation of Brain Atrophy from Sequential MRIs
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FDG PET in the Study of AD

- Characteristic & progressive CMRgl declines
  - posterior cingulate, parietal & temporal cortex
  - prefrontal cortex & whole brain metabolism
- Correlated with dementia severity & progressive
- Predicts clinical decline & histopathological diagnosis of AD
  - Clinically indicated in some patients with dementia
- Predicts higher rate of conversion from MCI to probable AD
  - Not yet clinically indicated in patients with MCI
- Early and progressive CMRgl declines in cognitively normal APOE ε4 carriers
- Promising roles in the discovery of AD disease-slowing & prevention therapies
CMRgl Abnormalities in Probable Alzheimer’s Dementia

PET in the Diagnosis of Alzheimer’s Disease
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Region</th>
<th>Atlas Coordinates</th>
<th>Z-Score</th>
<th>% Annual Decline*</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Frontal</td>
<td>-48 6 40</td>
<td>5.20</td>
<td>9.1±3.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Parietal</td>
<td>-64 -42 36</td>
<td>4.14</td>
<td>10.9±6.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Temporal</td>
<td>-68 -14 -12</td>
<td>3.91</td>
<td>10.4±6.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cingulate</td>
<td>-2 -42 28</td>
<td>3.62</td>
<td>6.6±5.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Global</td>
<td>--- --- ---</td>
<td>-----</td>
<td>4.2±4.6</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Mean ± SD, percent decline from baseline CMRgl

## Number of AD Patients per Treatment Group Needed to Detect an Effect with 80% Power in One Year

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Treatment Effect</th>
<th>20%</th>
<th>30%</th>
<th>40%</th>
<th>50%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Frontal</td>
<td>85</td>
<td>38</td>
<td>22</td>
<td>14</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Parietal</td>
<td>217</td>
<td>97</td>
<td>55</td>
<td>36</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Temporal</td>
<td>266</td>
<td>119</td>
<td>68</td>
<td>44</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cingulate</td>
<td>343</td>
<td>153</td>
<td>87</td>
<td>57</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Combined</td>
<td>62</td>
<td>28</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>10</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

P=0.01 (two-tailed)
No adjustment for normal aging effects or subject attrition

FDG PET Quantification Using an Image-Derived Carotid Artery Input Function

Chen et al, J Cereb Blood Flow Metab 1998
Baseline CMRgl Reductions and One-Year CMRgl Declines in Amnestic MCI

• 22 patients with MCI
  – Petersen criteria, CDR 0.5, baseline MMSE 28, mean age 70
  – 8 (36%!) converted to probable AD, 12 remained stable, 2 excluded

• Patients who converted to probable AD
  – Baseline rCMRgl reductions in comparison to NCs & stable MCIs
  – One-year rCMRgl declines, some of which were greater than those in the stable MCIs (e.g., in right prefrontal cortex)

• Analyses of the aggregate MCI group are pending
  – Including estimates of statistical power for clinical trials

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>APOE ε4 Copies</th>
<th>Prevalence</th>
<th>Alzheimer Risk</th>
<th>Onset Age</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>0</td>
<td>73%</td>
<td>20%</td>
<td>84</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>24%</td>
<td>47%</td>
<td>75</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>3%</td>
<td>91%</td>
<td>68</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Corder et al, Science 1993
CMRgl Abnormalities in 20-39 y.o. $\varepsilon_3/\varepsilon_4$’s

Reiman et al, *PNAS* 2004
Reiman et al, PNAS 2004
Correlations Between APOE ε4 Gene Dose and Regional Hypometabolism

P < 0.005
Reiman et al, *PNAS* 2001
Number of Cognitively Normal APOE-3/4’s per Treatment Group Needed to Detect an Effect with 80% Power in Two Years

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Treatment Effect</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>20%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Thalamus</td>
<td>78</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Parahippocampal</td>
<td>129</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cingulate</td>
<td>130</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Temporal</td>
<td>155</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Basal Forebrain</td>
<td>167</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Prefrontal</td>
<td>179</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Combined</strong></td>
<td><strong>39</strong></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

P=0.01 (two-tailed), uncorrected for multiple comparisons
Two-Year CMRgl Declines in Cognitively Normal APOE ε4 Carriers
Reiman et al, *PNAS* 2001

Two-Year CMRgl Declines in APOE ε4 Carriers with Memory Concerns
Small et al, *PNAS* 2000

One-Year CMRgl Declines in MCI patients Who Developed Probable AD

P < 0.001
Linking Functional and Structural Brain Images With Multivariate Network Analyses Using the Partial Least Square Method

Chen et al 2004 (abstract)
Amyloid Imaging

Klunk et al, Ann Neurol 2004
Amyloid Imaging: Promising Radioligands

- **[18F] FDDNP (JR Barrio, GW Small et al, UCLA)**
  - Lipophilic, binds in vitro to synthetic Aβ fibrils
  - Binds in postmortem brain to fibrillar Aβ, diffuse Aβ, and NFTs
  - PET: Increased binding in parietotemporal cortex
  - Unlike Congo Red and Thioflavin-T, competes with NSAID binding to fibrillar Aβ

- **[11C] PIB (WE Klunk, CA Mathis et al, Univ Pittsburgh)**
  - Benzothiazole derivative with high affinity for fibrillar Aβ
  - Lipophilic, low non-specific binding
  - PET: Increased binding in frontal & parietotemporal cortex
  - [18F]-labeled ligand in development

- **[11C] SB-13 (HF Kung et al, Univ Pennsylvania)**
  - Stilbene derivative with high affinity for fibrillar Aβ
  - Competes with PIB but not FDDNP binding in vitro
  - PET: Binding properties similar to PIB in AD patients versus controls

- **[125I] IMPY (HF Kung et al, Univ Pennsylvania)**
  - Binding properties similar to [11C] SB-13 in vitro
PET Measurements of AD Neuropathology

**Extraordinary Promise**
- The evaluation of putative “plaque busters”
- The identification of persons likely to benefit from these treatments
- Helping to test the amyloid hypothesis

**Current Challenges**
- To further develop & test radiotracer methods for the quantitative assessment of fibrillar Aβ density
- To directly compare these radiotracers in patients & controls
- To determine whether fibrillar Aβ binding measurements can...
  - Track the progression of AD
  - Predict the clinical progression & neuropathological diagnosis of AD in patients with dementia & MCI
- To compare the time-course of neuropathological, FDG PET, & MRI changes in patients with AD & MCI & non-impaired at-risk persons
- To further develop & test radiotracer methods for the quantitative assessment of NFT density & other neuropathological features
- Patience, persistence & support for this important endeavor
Why ADNI? The Promise of MRI & FDG PET in Clinical Trials

- Volumetric MRI & FDG PET are the best established imaging techniques in the early detection & tracking of AD
- Emerging roles in the evaluation of putative disease-slowing and prevention therapies
  - Greater statistical power than clinical ratings in proof-of-concept (e.g., early Phase II) trials
  - Potential to support a disease-slowing indication (e.g., in Phase III trials)
  - Potential to help determine a sub-set of patients most likely to benefit from the treatment (e.g., to enrich clinical trials)
- Promising roles in predicting the rate of conversion from MCI to probable AD
  - Permitting shorter clinical trials in which conversion to probable AD isn’t the primary endpoint
  - Possible future role in the differential diagnosis of MCI
Why ADNI? Helping to Fulfill this Promise

• Standardized procedures for clinical trials
  – Needed to compare data from different persons & centers, compare findings from different studies, & conduct clinical trials

• An accessible database
  – To compare different methods & measurements, helping to establish the best ones to use in clinical trials
  – To develop & test improved image-analysis algorithms
  – To improve the early detection & tracking of AD through the use of complementary datasets

• Biological specimens
  – To relate imaging findings to other promising biomarkers

• To further characterize & compare the statistical power of PET & MRI in multi-center clinical trials

• To help determine how baseline measurements & short-term changes predict subsequent rates of clinical decline & conversion from MCI to probable AD
ADNI: Experimental Design

- **800 subjects**
  - 200 AD: 0, 6, 12, 24 months
  - 400 amnestic MCI: 0, 6, 12, 18, 24, 26 months
  - 200 normal controls: 0, 6, 12, 24, 36 months

- **45-50 sites**

- **Volumetric MRI**
  - 1.5T in all subjects
  - 3T in ~25% of subjects

- **FDG PET (resting state)**
  - Non-quantitative PET in ~50% of all subjects
  - Quantitative PET in ~25% of PET subjects (~25% of all subjects)

- **Biological specimens**
  - Blood and urine at all time points
  - CSF from ~20% of subjects at fewer time points
ADNI Components

- **Administrative Core – UCSF**
  - Weiner (ADNI Director)

- **Clinical Core – UCSD**
  - Thal, Albert, DeKosky, Morris, Petersen, Salmon, Tariot, Salmon, others

- **MRI Core – Mayo**
  - Jack, Alexander, Bernstein, Dale, DeCarli, Felmlee, Fox, Schuff, Studholme, others

- **PET Core – Berkeley, Michigan, Arizona**
  - Jagust, Bandy, Foster, Koepppe, Reiman, others

- **Biomarkers Core – Penn**
  - Trojanowski, others

- **Informatics Core – UCLA for QA’d images, UCSD for clinical data**
  - Toga (LONI), others

- **Statistics Core – UCSD**
  - Beckett, Gamst

- **45-50 Sites**

- **Industry Scientific Advisory Board**
  - Snyder, others
ADNI and the ADCs

• Enrolling interested subjects in brain donation programs?
• Subsequently enrolling interested subjects in clinical cores?
• Proposal to be presented by John Morris to the ADNI Steering Committee on April 11, 2005
Anticipated Challenges

Subject recruitment
Subject retention
Imaging upgrades
Informatics
Communication/coordination
Schedule
Conclusions

• **Volumetric MRI & FDG PET** have great promise in...
  – the early detection & tracking of AD
  – the evaluation of putative disease-slowing & prevention therapies

• **ADNI** is intended to help fulfill this promise

• **We recognize the challenges and remain open to your suggestions**
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