Criteria for AD Dementia-Revised

Guy M. McKhann, MD Department of Neurology Johns Hopkins

> Consultant: Previous- Wyeth, GSK Present-Merck DSMB

Progression of Alzheimer's Disease Normal MCI due to AD AD **Dementia** Cognitive **Function**

Disease Progression

Preclinical Workgroup

Reisa Sperling, Brigham and Women's Hospital (Chair) Laurel Beckett, University of California, Davis **David Bennett,** Rush University Medical Center Suzanne Craft, VA Puget Sound Health Care System Anne Fagan, Washington University Jeffrey Kaye, Oregon Health Science University Tom Montine, University of Washington **Denise Park**, University of Texas, Dallas Eric Reiman, Banner Alzheimer's Institute **Eric Siemers**, Eli Lilly and Company Yaakov Stern, Columbia University Kristine Yaffe, University of California, San Francisco Paul Aisen, University of California, San Diego (Adjunct) **Cliff Jack**, Mayo Clinic (Adjunct)

MCI Working Group

Chair: Marilyn Albert Steve DeKosky Dennis Dickson Bruno Dubois Howard Feldman Nick Fox Anthony Gamst Dave Holtzman Bill Jagust Ron Petersen Tony Phelps Peter Snyder

Sponsored by NIA and Alzheimer's Association

AD Dementia Working Group

Chair: Guy McKhann Johns Hopkins Clifford Jack Mayo Clinic Brad Hyman Harvard **Claudia Kawas UC Irvine Bill Klunk U PITT David Knopman Mayo Clinic**

Walter Koroshetz NINDS **Jennifer Manly** Columbia **Richard Mayuex** Columbia **Richard Mohs** Lilly Pharm **John Morris** Wash U Sandra Weintraub Northwestern **Creighton Phelps** NIA,NIH

Concerns with the NINCDS/ADRDA Criteria

- 1) The concept of the <u>continuum</u> of AD Pre-symptomatic – MCI - AD Dementia
- 2) The implication that memory impairment is always the primary cognitive deficit in all patients with AD dementia
- 3) Lack of discussion of other dementing conditions
- 4) No discussion of biomarkers (MR imaging, PET imaging, CSF assays did not exist)

McKhann et al., Neurology, 1984

Goals of Committee

- Review and revise previous criteria (NINCDS/ADRDA)
- Core set of <u>clinical criteria</u> with wide application (community clinicians, academic researchers, industry)
- Enhancement of certainty of diagnosis
 - Use of Biomarkers

Additional Concerns

- Proposed age cutoffs for the diagnosis of AD dementia
- Need for adaptation for culture and language differences
- Implied requirement for neuropsychology testing, which may not be available in many clinical settings.
- Possible AD dementia category, included a group of patients who would now be diagnosed as "mild cognitive impairment."
- Recognition of patients with mixed pathology (vascular disease and Lewy Body disease)

Overview

- Describe clinical and cognitive criteria for AD dementia
- Outline criteria for AD dementia
 - Pathologically Proven, Probable, Possible
- Describe framework for approach to biomarkers

. Criteria for all-cause Dementia

Dementia is characterized by the impairment of at least two of the following: -Impaired learning and retention of new information -impaired reasoning and handling of complex tasks -impaired spatial and visuo-construction abilities -impaired language functions

The cognitive impairment interferes with work or usual social activities The cognitive impairment represents a decline from prior levels of functioning Not explained by delirium nor major psychiatric disorder Criteria for the Diagnosis of AD Dementia Clinical and Cognitive Criteria

- Insidious Onset: Cognitive symptoms have a gradual onset over many months- years (onset not sudden over hours or days)
- <u>Gradual Progression</u>: Clear-cut history of worsening of cognition by report or observation

Criteria for the Diagnosis of AD Dementia Clinical and Cognitive Criteria

- Deficits are evident on history or examination
 - Significant impairment in two or more cognitive domains
 - Range of cognitive presentations
 - Impairment in social and occupational function

Amnestic Presentation

- Most common presentation of AD dementia
- The deficits should include impairment in learning and recall of recently learned information.
- There should also, over time, be evidence of cognitive dysfunction in other cognitive domains.

Non-amnestic Presentation (1)

- Language dysfunction: Most prominent deficits are in word-finding, but dysfunction in other cognitive domains should ultimately be present
- Often confused with forms of FTLD (Primary Progressive Aphasia and Semantic Dementia)

Non-amnestic Presentation (2)

 Visuospatial dysfunction: Most prominent deficits are in spatial cognition – e.g., object agnosia, impaired face recognition. Deficits in other cognitive domains should ultimately be present. Non-amnestic Presentation (3)

 Executive dysfunction: most prominent deficits are in impaired reasoning, judgment and problem solving. Deficits in other cognitive domains should ultimately be present Levels of Certainty Original Criteria

- Definite: Autopsy proven
- **Probable**:
- **Possible**:

Levels of Certainty New Criteria

- Pathologically proven AD dementia
- Probable AD dementia
 - Enhanced probability
 - » Documented decline
 - » Mutation carrier
 - » Biomarker positive

Possible AD dementia

- » Atypical course
- » Biomarkers negative
- » Mixed presentation

Pathologically proven AD Dementia

- Meets clinical and cognitive criteria for probable AD dementia during life
- Proven AD by pathological examination
- Pathologically characterized AD, without corresponding clinical criteria would not be considered "Pathologically proved AD Dementia"

Probable AD Dementia

- Meets clinical and cognitive criteria for AD dementia
- Without evidence of any alternative diagnoses

Probable AD Dementia Enhanced Probability

- Documented longitudinal decline
- AD genetic mutation carrier
- Positive evidence from biomarkers

Probable AD Dementia Enhanced Probability (1)

 <u>Documented Decline</u>: Longitudinal assessment documenting decline in cognition

- » Standard neuropsychological testing
- » Brief office assessment

AD Genetic Mutation Carrier

- Meets clinical and cognitive criteria for AD Dementia
- Has a proven AD autosomal dominant genetic mutation (PSEN1, PSEN2, APP).

Probable AD Dementia Enhanced Probability (2)

Examination of Biomarkers

- Biomarkers that increase certainty that AD is underlying pathology
- » Biomarkers that are indication of progression of AD dementia

Biomarkers

Molecular Pathology of AD Dementia

- CSF Abeta 42
- CSF tau/ phospho tau
- Amyloid Imaging

• Downstream Measures of Structural Change

- Hippocampal Volume
- Medial Temporal Lobe Atrophy

• Downstream Measures of Functional Change

- FDG PET
- SPECT Perfusion

Biomarkers obtained and Negative:

- In many cases, imaging and CSF biomarker results will be clearly normal or abnormal..
- In some cases, ambiguous results will be obtained and it may be able to further classify some of these as positive or negative with more sophisticated quantitative and objective image analysis methods.
- CSF findings rely completely on a quantitative readout with comparison to norms. These quantitative techniques are, and will continue to be in evolution for some time.
- Therefore practical use of biomarkers must follow local best-practice guidelines, until standardization has been fully accomplished.

Hypothetical Model of Biomarkers



Biomarkers to Enhance Diagnosis

Has one or more of the following supporting biomarkers

- low CSF Aβ42, elevated CSF tau or phospho tau
 - ratio
- positive amyloid PET imaging
- decreased FDG uptake on PET in temporoparietal cortex
- Disproportionate atrophy on structural MR in medial temporal (esp. hippocampus), basal and lateral temporal lobe, and medial parietal isocortex.

Possible AD Dementia

- <u>Atypical Course</u>: Evidence for progression is lacking BUT patient meets other clinical and cognitive criteria for AD dementia
- <u>Mixed Presentation</u>: Patient has evidence of other concomitant disorders (cerebrovascular disease, DLB)
- Biomarker Negative: Patient meets clinical and cognitive criteria for AD dementia BUT biomarker measurements have been done, and are negative

Mixed Presentation

- Meets clinical and cognitive criteria for AD dementia but there is evidence of <u>concomitant</u> <u>cerebrovascular disease</u>, this would mean that there is >1 lacunar infarct, or a single large infarct or extensive, severe white matter hyperintensity changes.
- Evidence for some features of <u>Dementia with</u> <u>Lewy Bodies</u> that do not achieve a level of a diagnosis of probable DLB.

NOT AD Dementia

 Does not meet clinical criteria for AD dementia

OR

 Has sufficient evidence for an alternative diagnosis such as HIV, Huntington's disease, or others that rarely, if ever, overlap with AD

Other Potential Disorders

- Frontotemporal lobar degeneration (FTD, SD, PPA)
- Corticobasal syndrome
- Creutzfeld Jakob disease (CJD)
- Others: HIV Disease, effects of alcohol or drug usage, delirium, etc

Issues for Neuropathologists

- Concept of the continuum of Alzheimer's disease
- Pathology of earlier stages of disease
- Correlation between biomarkers and pathology
- Identification of neuronal loss
- Mixed dementias
 - Overlap with vascular disease

Suggestion to neuropathologists

- Have a meeting to review issues and criteria
- Perhaps with representation from other committees

Progression of Alzheimer's Disease Normal MCI due to AD AD **Dementia** Cognitive **Function**

Disease Progression